# Minutes of the Interdepartmental Laboratory Evaluation Committee Meeting of 06/05/2015

The Committee met on 06/05/2015 at 11.00 am to evaluate the proposals submitted by Departments relating to the 1st 2015 University Interdepartmental Laboratories Call.

Present were Prof. R. Rota, Prof. G. Pasqui, Prof. G. Magnani, Prof. G. Rosati, Prof. F. Resta.

The proposals submitted by Departments were:

- 4 proposals for Laboratory funding

- 3 proposals for Laboratory accreditation

The Committee therefore started with the evaluation of funding proposals since all proposals met the requirements for access to the contribution.

The Committee recalled the evaluation criteria defined in the call:

1. significance vis-a-vis University policies
2. creation or consolidation of synergies
3. number of Departments involved
4. amount of co-funding
5. coherency of funding compared to laboratory equipment/coherency with the educational offer

The Coordinator recalled that the funding available for the first Call of 2015 amounted to € 513,888.60 including the amount not assigned in the second call of 2014.

The Committee therefore started with the evaluation of the 4 funding proposals that met the requirements for access to the contribution. The proposals were the following:

* BrainLab@PoliMi
* PSVL ‐ PoliMi Sound and Vibration Laboratory
* Lightweight and Durability Performance
* Internet of Things Lab (IoT Lab)

The Committee, following evaluation by the individual committee members of the proposals according the five assessment criteria envisaged in the Call, agreed on the following evaluation:

# BrainLab@PoliMi

- significance vis-a-vis University policies: *high*

- creation or consolidation of synergies: *high*

- number of Departments involved: *high*

- amount of co-funding: *high*

- coherency of funding compared to laboratory equipment/coherency with the educational offer: *average*

The Committee considered the project eligible for funding.

# PSVL ‐ PoliMi Sound and Vibration Laboratory

- significance vis-a-vis University policies: *high*

- creation or consolidation of synergies: *high*

- number of Departments involved: *high*

- amount of co-funding: *high*

- coherency of funding compared to laboratory equipment/coherency with the educational offer: *high*

The Committee considered the project eligible for funding.

# Lightweight and Durability Performance

- significance vis-a-vis University policies: *high*

- creation or consolidation of synergies: *high*

- number of Departments involved: *average*

- amount of co-funding: *high*

- coherency of funding compared to laboratory equipment/coherency with the educational offer: *average*

The Committee considered the project eligible for funding.

It was pointed out that the Committee, in expressing its evaluation, had considered that the amount of funding made available by the Departments should be equal to 3,000 euro per year for three years.

# Internet of Things Lab (IoT Lab)

- significance vis-a-vis University policies: *high*

- creation or consolidation of synergies: *high*

- number of Departments involved: *high*

- amount of co-funding: *low*

- coherency of funding compared to laboratory equipment/coherency with the educational offer: *high*

The Committee considered the project eligible for funding.

The Committee had evaluated the amount of co-funding equal to zero since research grants are not considered valid for co-funding purposes. While overall it had positively evaluated the adequacy of funding, considering the rental and operating costs and the works for access to the laboratory as funds for the normal operation of the laboratory.

In summary, the results were as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **BrainLab@PoliMi** | 45 points |
| **PSVL ‐ PoliMi Sound and Vibration Laboratory** | 50 points |
| **Lightweight and Durability Performance** | 40 points |
| **Internet of Things Lab (IoT Lab)** | 40 points |

The Committee therefore proposed funding for all the proposed laboratories:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Funding requested** | **Funding proposed  by the  Committee** |
| **BrainLab@PoliMi** | €150,000.00 | €137,400.00 |
| **PSVL ‐ PoliMi Sound and Vibration**  **Laboratory** | €150,000.00 | €137,400.00 |
| **Lightweight and Durability Performance** | €150,000.00 | €137,400.00 |
| **Internet of Things Lab (IoT Lab)** | €111,000.00 | €101,676.00 |
|  | **Total € 561,000.00** | **Total €513,876.00** |

The Committee proposed a reduction of funding requested of approximately 8.4% for all four projects selected so as to fall within the budget available.

The Committee then proceeded with evaluation of the 3 accreditation requests:

# ATGLab (ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY GROUP Lab)

The proposal, from the formal point of view, was not acceptable since a Department involved had not approved the proposal. The Committee evaluated the proposal not to be accreditable.

# ArchiDigiLab - Laboratory for process Digitisation in Architecture, in Construction and in Land Management

The proposal, from the formal point of view, was not acceptable since a Department involved had not approved the proposal. The Committee evaluated the proposal not to be accreditable.

For the above laboratories, the Committee specified that the topics addressed were definitely of interest to the University; it pointed out that it was possible to submit the accreditation proposal to the desk and therefore asked all the proposers to verify their interest, updating the proposal where necessary.

# MANTOVA LAB

The proposed laboratory had the required characteristics of significance vis-a-vis the policies of the University as well the related creation of synergies; it was pointed out, however, that, according to the call, the Management Committee should be composed of a maximum of 5 members.

The Committee evaluated the proposal to be accreditable.

The Committee will ask the AS to approve, in its meeting of 26 May 2015, the second call of 2015 and to define the deadline for submission of funding proposals as 30 September 2015.

The meeting was adjourned at 12.00 am.